

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vrignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/074,181		02/12/2002	Judith Aronhime	1662/56002 4579	
26646	7590	07/01/2003			
KENYON & KENYON				EXAMINER	
	E BROADWAY W YORK, NY 10004			PULLIAM, AMY E	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				. 1615	
				DATE MAILED: 07/01/2003	طر

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	· Constant	10/074,181	ARONHIME ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Amy E Pulliam	1615					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum study period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15.	<u>luly 2002</u> .						
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is actión is non-final.	,					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-72</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
I	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-72</u> is/are rejected.	• .						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	*						
1	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) D Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
U.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Rev		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 6					

Art Unit: 1615

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

# RESTRICTION

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-4, 7, 11-15, 20-23, 32-37, 45, and 68-72 drawn to oxcarbazepine and its methods of use, classified in class 514, subclass 217.
- II. Claims 5, 6, 8-10, 16-19, 24-31, 38-44, 46-57, drawn to processes for making oxcarbazepine, classified in class 264, subclass 109+.
- III. Claims 58-67, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition, classified in class 424, subclass 464.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case by Applicant's claims alone it is shown that there are several methods with which to make forms of oxcarbazepine, so the products claimed can be made by materially different processes.

Inventions I and III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and

Art Unit: 1615

the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as simply the drug itself, rather than the pharmaceutical composition for use in treating patients, and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.

# **ELECTION OF SPECIES**

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

If group I is elected, please select from the following species.

Form B (claims 1-4, 7, 11).

103(a) of the other invention.

Form C (Claims 12-15, 20).

Form D (claims 21-23, 32).

Solvate Form E (claims 34-37, 45).

In making this election, the examiner has assumed that claims 2-4 correspond to Form B, claims 13-15 correspond to Form C, and so forth. If this assumption is incorrect, the examiner kindly requests that Applicant place the appropriate claims in the appropriate groupings.



Art Unit: 1615

The method of use claims will be incorporated into which ever form of the active agent is selected.

If group II is elected above, please select from the following species:

Process for preparing Form B by preparing a solution and evaporating the solvent (claims 5,6).

Process for preparing Form B by preparing a solution, heating, and precipitating (claims 8-10).

Process for preparing Form C by preparing a solution, heating, and precipitating (claims 16-19).

Process for preparing Form D by preparing a solution and evaporating the solvent (claims 24-31)

Process for preparing solvate by precipitating in chloroform (claims 38-44).

Process for preparing Form A by heating solvate form E (claims 46-48).

Process for preparing Form A by heating Form B (claims 49-51).

Process for preparing Form C by heating Form B (claim 52).

Process for preparing Form A by contacting form B, C, or D with solvent (claims 53-57)

If group III is elected above, please select from the following:

Which form of ozcarbazepine from claim 58.

What form of dosage form: tablet, capsule, or oral suspension.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Art Unit: 1615

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1615

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amy E Pulliam whose telephone number is 703-308-4710. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30-5:00, Alternate Fri 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on 703-308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3592 for regular communications and 703-305-3592 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

A. Pulliam
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1615
June 27, 2003

THURMAN K. PAGE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
1600